Had I been present for rollcall No. 109, I would have voted "aye." Had I been present for rollcall No. 110, I would have voted "no." Had I been present for rollcall No. 111, I would have voted "no." Had I been present for rollcall No. 112, I would have voted "no." Had I been present for rollcall No. 113, I would have voted "no." Had I been present for rollcall No. 114, I would have voted "aye." Had I been present for rollcall No. 115, I would have voted "no." Had I been present for rollcall No. 116, I would have voted "aye." Had I been present for rollcall No. 117, I would have voted "aye." Had I been present for rollcall No. 118, I would have voted "no." Had I been present for rollcall No. 119, I would have voted "no." Had I been present for rollcall No. 120, I would have voted "no." Had I been present for rollcall No. 121, I would have voted "no." Had I been present for rollcall No. 122, I would have voted "no." Had I been present for rollcall No. 123, I would have voted "no." Had I been present for rollcall No. 124, I would have voted "aye." Had I been present for rollcall No. 125, I would have voted "aye." Had I been present for rollcall No. 126, I would have voted "no." Had I been present for rollcall No. 127, I would have voted "no." Had I been present for rollcall No. 128, I would have voted "no." Had I been present for rollcall No. 129, I would have voted "no." Had I been present for rollcall No. 130, I would have voted "no." Had I been present for rollcall No. 131, I would have voted "ave." Had I been present for rollcall No. 132, I would have voted "no." Had I been present for rollcall No. 133, I would have voted "no." Had I been present for rollcall No. 134, I would have voted "ave." Had I been present for rollcall No. 135, I would have voted "no." Had I been present for rollcall No. 136, I would have voted "no." Had I been present for rollcall No. 137, I would have voted "no." Had I been present for rollcall No. 138, I would have voted "no." Had I been present for rollcall No. 139, I would have voted "no." Had I been present for rollcall No. 140, I would have voted "no." Had I been present for rollcall No. 141, I would have voted "no." Had I been present for rollcall No. 142, I would have voted "aye." Had I been present for rollcall No. 143, I would have voted "no."

Had I been present for rollcall No. 144, I

Had I been present for rollcall No. 145, I

Had I been present for rollcall No. 146, the

Had I been present for rollcall No. 147, Final

Passage of H.R. 1, I would have voted "no."

Democratic Motion to Recommit H.R. 1, I

would have voted "no."

would have voted "no."

would have voted "ave."

While I believe deficit reduction must be a top priority for Congress, and I have introduced legislation that would trim tens of billions of dollars from our deficits over the coming years, I believe H.R. 1 makes extreme cuts that put continued economic recovery and job creation at risk. Even if successfully enacted, H.R. 1 would only cut our projected deficit for fiscal year 2011 by about 4 percent. What is really needed is a comprehensive, long term deficit reduction plan that gets our fiscal house in order while maintaining programs that create jobs and keep us competitive in the global marketplace.

DISCOVERY

HON. PETE OLSON

OF TEXAS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, February 28, 2011

Mr. OLSON. Mr. Speaker, I submit the following:

Discovery!

This, her final quest!

As on this your final voyage, now so left

As through the years, as you went forth with all of your best!

To news worlds, with all of your dreams unfurled

Daring so boldly, but To Be The Best!

And to all of those lives lost, and all those most swollen tears this cost!

Who to us the very meaning of courage, so taught!

Space!

The Final Frontier!

Hurdling through space, but on the edge the very edge of death as wrought!

All in your most heroic quests!

As have, all of your most courageous hearts and souls so here no less!

Hearts and souls!

Who, all of you our very futures hold!

To Discovery!

These mysteries and answers, that we have so left!

To new worlds, and new distant shores!

To Discover To Move So Gallantly Forth, To Explore!

As have you, and all of yours!

And all of those, fine women and men....

Who have so helped, so helped all of you up to send....

To send, all up in outer space!

All but to so save this, this the human race! As upon you, most courageous explorers all our futures so depend!

For only through you can we so learn, and grow and to new heights so ascend!

For as long as we have such Brave Heart's as yours, who so heroically so go forth! And reach for the stars!

Who So Go Forth, to new worlds and far away most distance shores!

To Discover, what so lies left . . .

All out there, on but that very edge that edge of death!

To all of Man and Womankind, to so bless! God Speed, to all of you on this your most

God Speed, to all of you on this your most final heroic quest!

All in this your final voyage final journey no less!

To Discover!

As to new heights, as your most magnificent souls so crest!

To Discovery, and all of its gifts!

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

HON, LYNN C. WOOLSEY

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Monday, February 28, 2011

Ms. WOOLSEY. Mr. Speaker, on February 18, 2011, I was unavoidably detained and was unable to record my vote for rollcall No. 94. Had I been present I would have voted:

Rollcall No. 94: no—Young of Alaska Amendment No. 533.

PRESIDENT OBAMA'S DECISION TO STOP DEFENDING DOMA

HON. VIRGINIA FOXX

OF NORTH CAROLINA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, February 28, 2011

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, President Obama's decision to halt the federal government's defense of a congressionally enacted law, the Defense of Marriage Act, is a great disappointment. When the Attorney General and President of the United States take it upon themselves to determine which laws are worth defending and which are not, they are undermining the law-making role of Congress and the law-reviewing role of the courts. There is a reason it's called judicial review, not executive review.

The President should not be picking and choosing which laws to enforce. If Congress passes a law and the courts have not deemed it unconstitutional, it is the executive branch's responsibility to defend and uphold that law, whether or not the chief executive agrees with the premise of that law. To do otherwise sets a very bad precedent.

Plus, this raises questions about the President's insistence on moving forward with last year's health care overhaul law. After all, unlike DOMA, this law has already been deemed unconstitutional by more than one federal judge.

FULL-YEAR CONTINUING APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2011

SPEECH OF

HON. HAROLD ROGERS

OF KENTUCKY

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Tuesday, February 15, 2011

The House in Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union had under consideration the bill (H.R. 1) making appropriations for the Department of Defense and the other departments and agencies of the Government for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2011, and for other purposes:

Mr. ROGERS of Kentucky. Mr. Chair, pursuant to section 8119 of Division A of H.R. 1 as passed the House on February 18, 2011 Chairman ROGERS submits the following explanatory statement:

REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE

For fiscal year 2011, the Department of Defense is directed to adhere to the definition of Program, Project and Activity, and to follow the guidance for Congressional Special Interest Items, Reprogrammings, Reprogramming Reporting Requirements, and